

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,885	09/847,885 05/02/2001		Robert Nakayama	18564-005910	5809	
22428	7590	10/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ND LAR	DNER LLP	TALBOT,	TALBOT, BRIAN K		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DO	20007	1762	1762		
			•	DATE MAILED: 10/27/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/847,885	NAKAYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian K. Talbot	1762		

	Brian K. Taibot	1702	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brie nsideration and/or search (see NC	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b DTE below):	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w):	,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jeoted olaimo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(DTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
		timely filed amondme	nt consoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b)	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		<i>.</i>
13. Other:		Blood	10/25/0
		Brian K Talbot	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1762

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Finally rejected claims (as well as the non-final rejected claims) did not require the first layer being capable of sensing the analyte, the second layer being capable of absorbing the analyte wherein the analyte is absorbed and contacts the first layer.

The arguments filed 9/28/06 have been considered but have not been found persuasive.

It is noted that Applicant stated the amendment should be entered as it would remove the 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragrapgh rejection and reduce the issues for potential appeal.

While this may be true, the scope of the claims has changed with the proposed amendment and as nted above is not being entered. In addition, Applicant is directed toward the non-final rejection, filed 3/31/06, which stated the same 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection, however, Applicant, for whatever reason, chose not to address the issue in the response filed 6/5/06.

BRIANK. TALBOT
PRIMARY EXAMINER